

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/679,649	RAMAMURTHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mirellys Jagan	2859	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Non-Final

 (1) Mirellys Jagan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jenny Ko.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 March 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

-

Claims discussed:

-

Prior art documents discussed:

-

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted the applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to the claims in order to advance prosecution. However, an agreement was not reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)